PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

REC'D 0 4 APR 2005 pc.

From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

To:

see form PCT/(SA/220

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (PCT Rule 43bis.1)

Date of mailing

(daymonth/year) see from PCT/SA210 (second sheet)

Applicant's or agent's file reference see form PCT/SA/220

FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraph 2 below

International application No PCT/US2004/039689

International tiling date (day/month/year) 24.11.2004

Priority date (day/monthlyear)

24.11.2003

international Parent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC

G01R31/28

Applicant QUALCOMM INCORPORATED

a	This opinion		Amelia melia ma	untakine ta	440.00	Kallawina	itamo
1.	This oblinion	contains	MORCHDONS	tensing of	e erre	DIMANIA	REBIS.

S Box No. 1 Basis of the opinion

Box No. II Priority

C Box No. III

Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability

C Box No. N Lack of unity of invention

IXI Box No. V Responed statement under Rule 435/s.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial

applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

[] Box No. VI Certain documents cited

☐ Box No. Vil Certain defects in the international application

Sax No. VIII Certain observations on the international application

FURTHER ACTION

If a demand for international preliminary exemination is made, this opinion will usually be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA"). However, this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1 birdb) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.

If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of three months from the date of mailing of Form POT/SAZZO or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.

For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.

For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.

Name and mailing address of the ISA:



European Patent Office - P.B. 5818 Patentiann 2 Nt. 2200 HV Filjswijk - Pays Bas Tel. +31 70 340 - 2040 Tx: 31 651 epo ni Fax: +31 70 340 - 3016

Authorized Officer

Vvtlacilová, L

Telephone No. +31 70 340-4198



WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCTAJS2004/039689

	Вох	No	. 1 Basis of the opinion
	With the	n re lan(gard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in guage in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.
		lan	is opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original tanguage into the following guage , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search der Rules 12.3 and 83.1(b)).
	Witt	n re ess	gard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and ary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
	a. h	/pe	of material:
	ŧ]	a sequence listing
	-]	table(s) related to the sequence listing
	b. fr	orm	at of material:
	1	J	in written format
)		in computer readable form
	c. ti	me	of filing/furnishing:
	-		contained in the international application as filed.
	1		filed together with the international application in computer readable form.
	-		furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.
3.		ha	addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto a been filled or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional ples is identical to that in the application as filled or does not go beyond the application as filled, as propriate, were furnished.

Form PCT/ISA/237 (January 2004)

4. Additional comments:

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43*bis*.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelly (N)	 Claims Claime	2 - 9, 11 - 18, 20 - 29, 31 - 32 1, 10, 19, 30
Inventive step (IS)	Claims Claims	1 - 32
Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims Claims	1 - 32

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

Re Item V.

The following document is referred to in this communication:

D1: US 2003/204354 A1 (CORR WILLIAM E) 30 October 2003 (2003-10-30)

1.1 Although claims 10, 19 and 30 have been drafted as separate independent claims, they appear to relate effectively to the same subject-matter and to differ from each other only with regard to the definition of the subject-matter for which the protection is sought. The aforementioned claims therefore lack conciseness. Moreover, lack of clarity of the claims as a whole arises, since the plurality of independent claims makes it difficult to determine the matter for which protection is sought, and places an undue burden on others seeking to establish the extent of the protection.

Hence, claims 10, 19 and 30 do not meet the requirements of Article 6 PCT.

NOVELTY

- 2. The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of claim 1 is not new in the sense of Article 33(2) PCT.
- 2.1 Document D1, which is considered to represent the most relevant state of the art to the subject matter of claim 1, discloses (the references in parenthesis applying to this document) a method for determining an operating parameter of a chip (10) having first and second ring oscillators, comprising
- measuring a frequency of the first ring oscillator (see claim 35 of D1);
- measuring a frequency of the second ring oscillator (see claim 35 of D1);
- and calculating an operating parameter of the chip as a function of the first and second ring oscillator frequencies (see claim 34 of D1).

Therefore the subject-matter of claim 1 is not novel.

2.2 The similar arguments apply for corresponding claims 10, 19 and 30.

2.3 The subject-matter of the dependent claims 2 - 9, 11 - 18, 20 - 29, 31 - 32 is new and meets the requirement of Art. 33 (2) PCT.

INVENTIVE STEP

- 3. The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject matter of dependent claims 2 9, 11 18, 20 29, 31 32 does not involve an inventive step in the sense of Article 33(3) PCT.
- 3.1 Dependent claims 2 9, 11 18, 20 29, 31 32 do not contain any features which, in combination with the features of any claim to which it refers, meet the requirements of the PCT in respect of inventive step, the reasons being as follows:

The subject-matter of claims 2 - 9, 11 - 18, 20 - 29, 31 - 32 is considered as normal design option for a person skilled in the art.

3.4 Therefore the subject-matter of claims 2 - 9, 11 - 18, 20 - 29, 31 - 32 does not fulfill the requirement of Art. 33 (3) PCT.

INDUSTRIAL APPLICABILITY

4. The subject-matter of independent claims 1, 10, 19 and 30 and the subject-matter of the dependent claims 2 - 9, 11 - 18, 20 - 29, 31 - 32 meet requirement of Art. 33 (4) PCT.